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ABSTRACT

Self-compassion, being kind towards oneself, has been identified as a key protective factor of mental health. This is consistent with students’ experiences in the study of nursing, which attracts many students in the United Kingdom. Despite the importance of self-compassion, knowledge in how to enhance self-compassion is under-researched: approaches commonly entail meditative exercises. To suggest alternative approaches, relationships between self-compassion and more established constructs need to be appraised. Accordingly, this study evaluated predictors of self-compassion, examining its relationships with more established constructs examined in other healthcare student populations: resilience, engagement, motivation and mental well-being. An opportunity sample of 182 UK nursing students at a university in East Midlands completed self-report measures about these constructs. Correlation and regression analyses were conducted. Self-compassion was positively related to resilience, engagement, intrinsic motivation and mental well-being, while negatively related to amotivation. Resilience and mental well-being were identified as significant predictors of self-compassion. As resilience and mental well-being are relatively familiar to many nursing lecturers and students, educators can incorporate a self-compassion component into the existing resilience training and/or mental well-being practices.

1. Introduction

1.1. Mental health and mental well-being of nursing students

Nursing is related to offering care for people at points of illness and vulnerability. To provide quality care consistently, mental well-being of nurses is important as it helps them to feel good and function well (Tennant et al., 2007), therefore the importance of mental well-being in nurses has been emphasised (Xie et al., 2020). Mental well-being is commonly regarded as a positive facet of mental health, relating to the subjective experience of happiness and life satisfaction (hedonic perspective) and psychological functioning and self-realisation (eudaimonic perspective) (Ryan and Deci, 2001). High stress can damage mental well-being, leading to poor mental health (Tennant et al., 2007), which has been recognised in nursing populations (Ogińska-Bulik and Michalska, 2020; Xin et al., 2019). For example, burnout is widely documented in nursing literature (Hofmeyer et al., 2020; Jarrad and Hammad, 2020; Waddill-Goad, 2019). Poor mental health of nurses is a cause of concern for the healthcare sector (Kinman et al., 2020).

Mental health education in the university has been identified as one solution for improving poor mental health in the workplace (Geirdal et al., 2019). Accordingly, mental health and mental well-being of nursing students have been substantially researched (Cilar et al., 2019; Kotera et al., 2020a,b; Oates et al., 2020). Studying nursing is often regarded as more stressful than other healthcare studies, leading to compromised mental well-being in students (Tung et al., 2018; Turner and McCarth, 2017; Walker and Mann, 2016). In addition to common stressors in higher education—pressure of academic work and personal life factors—, nursing students are exposed to realities of clinical practice (Edwards et al., 2015), such as offering care for the critically-ill in a short-staffed context (Zhao et al., 2015) and intense fear for making errors (Yıldırım et al., 2017). Balancing academic work and clinical work is stressful to many nursing students. For example, in the United Kingdom (UK), the current standards for nursing programmes denote that student nurses will complete 2300 h of theory and practice respectively during training (Nursing and Midwifery Council [NMC], 2018). Such high demands for practice can damage nursing students’ mental well-being (Edwards et al., 2010).

Taken together, the low levels of mental well-being in nursing students are increasingly recognised by the key governing bodies.
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Development of mental health awareness for student nurses is regarded as a central part of their proficiency standards (NMC, 2018). Despite these policy-level changes (e.g., NMC’s standards for mental well-being), little information is offered on how this should occur within the academic curriculum.

1.2. Self-compassion as key for mental health

Self-compassion, commonly regarded as the ability to notice suffering in oneself and others with a commitment to eliminate the suffering (Neff, 2003), is strongly related to positive mental health experiences in many healthcare student populations (Kotera, Green and Sheffield, 2019b; Kotera and Ting, 2019b). Three components of self-compassion are (i) being kind and understanding towards oneself (self-kindness), (ii) acknowledging that suffering is part of human life (common humanity) and (iii) being present in the here and now (mindfulness). Self-compassion is recognised as a significant element in cultivating compassion for others, particularly in terms of seeing failure and suffering as an inherent part of human experience.

Self-compassion has been strongly related to improving mental health in UK university students, where students who were more kind towards themselves demonstrated better mental health (Kotera et al., 2018b, 2019a). The positive relationship between self-compassion and mental health has been seen in professional nurses (Dev et al., 2018) and nursing students (Luo et al., 2019).

1.3. Challenges in cultivating self-compassion

Though numerous psychological benefits of self-compassion have been reported, interventions to cultivate self-compassion remain unexplored. Common interventions (e.g., the Mindfulness Self-Compassion programme; Neff and Germer, 2013) are predominantly associated with meditative exercises, failing to support people who are not suited for this approach. For example, some people do not engage with meditation because of its non-directiveness (i.e., they feel drowsy or sleepy) (Bojic and Becerra, 2017). People who have recurrent trauma may be scared to practice meditation as it can expose them to traumatic memory (Zeldin, 2015). To suggest alternative approaches for self-compassion, this study aimed to identify predictors of self-compassion from more established psychological constructs, namely emotional resilience (hereafter ‘resilience’), academic engagement (hereafter ‘engagement’), motivation and mental well-being. These variables were chosen as they were particularly associated with mental well-being and self-compassion in previous research (Kotera, Green and Sheffield, 2019a, 2019c).

1.4. Resilience

Resilience is associated with self-compassion (Kotera and Ting, 2019). Although there is still no agreed definition, resilience is commonly regarded as a construct where internal resources and behaviours are embraced to cope with difficulties and challenges, thus leading to a strengthened personality and psychological coping mechanisms (Grant and Kimman, 2014). Resilience drives one’s attention to strengths and opportunities, rather than weaknesses and vulnerability, through reframing one’s perspectives (Russ et al., 2009; Harrison, 2013). Resilient individuals may be affected by difficulties (e.g., trauma, loss); however, they are not lastingly overwhelmed by these experiences (Tugade and Fredrickson, 2004). Resilient people cope with these challenges by acquiring new skills (Carver, 1998). Resilience is associated with better mental health, potentiating compassion, self-efficacy and mindfulness (Robertson et al., 2015).

Resilience has also been underlined as a potential factor to help nurses cope with professional difficulties without having an impact on one’s mental well-being (NMC, 2018b). However, to date, no studies empirically investigated the effect of resilience on self-compassion in nursing students.

1.5. Engagement

Engagement can be defined as the time and physical energy that students spend on relevant activities. Engagement can be defined as the culmination of effort experienced by students to study, practice, obtain feedback, analyse and solve problems (Kuh, 2003).

Engagement is a common construct in academic research as it is related to positive educational outcomes such as higher student achievement, higher grades and successful attainment of an academic degree (Kuh, 2003). Engagement is also related to positive psychological outcomes including better mental health (Suárez-Colorado et al., 2019; Datu, 2018; Kotera and Ting, 2019), resilience (Turner et al., 2017) and higher intrinsic motivation (Armbruster et al., 2009). Despite these diverse positive relationships between engagement and other psychological constructs, the impact of engagement on self-compassion has not been appraised in nursing students.

1.6. Motivation

One of the most established motivation theories, the Self-Determination Theory (SDT) maintains that each individual has an inherent tendency to express their psychological energy into self-actualisation and social adjustment. Intrinsic motivation can be expressed in activities that are inherently interesting and fulfilling (i.e., undertaking the activity itself is a reward) (Deci and Ryan, 1985). Intrinsic motivation is associated with better performance (Baard, Deci and Ryan, 2006), mental well-being (Bailey and Phillips, 2016), improved life satisfaction (Locke and Latham 2004), increased prosocial behaviour (Gagne, 2003) and ethical judgment (Kotera et al., 2018b). In higher education, students’ intrinsic motivation is associated with meaningfulness (Utvær, 2014) and improved academic performance (Khalaila, 2015).

Extrinsic motivation, on the other hand, can be observed in activities that are means to an end, such as money and status (Deci and Ryan, 1985). Extrinsic motivation is associated with burnout (Houkels et al., 2003), shame (Kotera et al., 2018a), depression (Blais et al., 1993), limited performance (Vallerand, 1997) and unethical judgment (Kotera et al., 2018b). The third category of motivation, amotivation, is when individuals do not feel interest or value in a certain activity such as going to university (Shen et al., 2010).

Self-compassion’s impact on motivation has been identified; self-compassion expands beliefs about a personal weakness, which motivates students to make amends and allocate more study time for a difficult test following an initial failure (Breines and Chen, 2012). However, the impact of motivation on self-compassion has not been evaluated.

1.7. Mental well-being

Mental well-being can be defined as a state of equilibrium between an individual’s resource pool and the challenges faced through events or challenges (Dodge et al., 2012). Mental well-being relates to the experiences of happiness, life satisfaction, fulfilment, functioning and purpose in life (Henderson and Knight, 2012). Furthermore, mental well-being is understood as the foundation for optimal psychological development, learning effectiveness, social connectedness and physical health (Barry et al., 2013; Clarke, Kuesmanen and Barry, 2015). It is a multi-dimensional measure of mental health, indicating not just the presence of a positive psychological state but also suggesting the degree with which individuals are able to realise their potential, cope with life’s challenges and thrive mentally (Slade, 2010). A recent cross-cultural study about nursing students’ mental well-being between Slovenia (n = 90) and Northern Ireland (n = 109) reported that most of the students (61% and 71%, respectively) had average level of mental well-being.
(Cilar et al., 2019). However, the study also noted that the rates of nursing students whose mental well-being was ‘below average’ or ‘very low’ were not modest (10% for Slovenian and 26% for Northern Irish), suggesting a need for evaluation.

In the UK and Canada, where mental health research and policy have attracted increasing attention, focus has shifted towards promoting mental well-being rather than eliminating mental health problems (Department of Health, 2009; Mental Health Commission of Canada, 2009). The relationship between mental health and mental well-being has been investigated in Malaysian university students, where the need for student support has been highlighted as a measure to reduce negative mental health symptoms (Mey and Yin, 2015). Mental well-being was used as a key construct to predict large variances in students’ mental health experiences (Kotera and Ting, 2019). In the UK, midwifery students’ mental well-being was investigated and the importance of regular contacts with peers and academics were highlighted (Oates et al., 2020), whereas interpersonal conflicts and lack of professional support were identified as detrimental factors to their mental well-being (Oates et al., 2019). Moreover, mental well-being of healthcare trainees, including nursing students, has been challenging in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. An open culture of trust and resilience has been highlighted as a key factor in maintaining good mental well-being in these challenging times (Shaw, 2020). Despite the fact that these findings suggest a need to examine mental well-being in greater detail, the impact of mental well-being on self-compassion, another key contributor to good mental health, has not been examined in UK nursing students, one of the most stressed student populations in higher education. Accordingly, this study aimed to appraise relationships of self-compassion through experiences of resilience, engagement, motivation and well-being in UK nursing students.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

We employed a cross-sectional design to generate timely output and to examine all variables altogether. Correlation and regression analyses were performed.

2.2. Participants

Participants were 18 years old or older and enrolled in a nursing programme at an East Midlands (UK) university. Nursing students who were not present on the day of the study were excluded. Paper-based questionnaires, comprising 58 items to be completed approximately in 10 min, were distributed by the programme tutors instead of the researchers to avoid bias. Opportunity sample of 198 full-time students who were informed of the study, of which 182 (92% response rate) completed five psychological scales: self-compassion, mental well-being, engagement, motivation and resilience. Our sample size exceeded the required sample size calculated by power analysis (84: two tails, \( p \text{ H1} = 0.30, \alpha = 0.05, \text{Power} = 0.80, p \text{ H0} = 0; \) Faul et al., 2009). Among 182 participants, 144 were female students (79%), 30 were male students (16%) and eight did not respond (4%); their ages ranged from 18 to 52 years old (\( M = 30.04; SD 8.31 \) years old); 146 were undergraduate students (80%) and 36 were postgraduate students (20%); 164 were British students, 10 were African students (e.g., Zimbabwean), six were other European students (e.g., Spanish) and two did not respond. Coloured paper was prepared for visually impaired students, however none of the participants used it. Our sample included slightly more male students (16%) than the general UK nursing students (10%; Office for Students, 2020). No compensation was awarded for participation. Following the ethical guidelines, the withdrawn 16 students were not asked for the reason; no reason nor complaint was received.

2.3. Ethical considerations

Ethical approval was granted by the University Research Ethics Committee (Ref: 011017 YK). The same participants were also included in a parallel study by the authors. Anonymity was maintained throughout the study. The participants were asked to create a unique participation code, which would be used if they had decided to withdraw. Participants were able to withdraw from the study up to two weeks after completing the survey, by emailing the lead author and noting the participation code and their intention to withdraw. Should the participants experience distress during the study, the contact information of mental health services inside and outside the university was provided.

2.4. Instruments

Self-compassion was assessed using the Self-Compassion Scale-Short Form (SCS-SF), a shortened version of the 26-item Self-Compassion Scale (Neff, 2003), comprising 12 five-point Likert items (e.g., ‘I try to be understanding and patient towards those aspects of my personality I don’t like’; 1 = ‘Almost never’ to 5 = ‘Almost always’; scores for items 1, 4, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are reversed). The mean score of the 12 items was regarded as the self-compassion score (Raes et al., 2011). Cronbach’s alpha was high (\( \alpha = .86; \) Raes et al., 2011 and \( \alpha = .87 \) in our sample).

Brief Resilience Scale (BRS; six items) was used to measure the level of resilience (Smith et al., 2008). The six items including ‘I have a hard time making it through stressful events’ are responded on the five-point Likert scale (1 = ‘Strongly disagree’ to 5 = ‘Strongly agree’; scores for the items 2, 4 and 6 are reversed). The mean score of all six items was identified as the resilience score (Smith et al., 2008). BRS had high internal consistency (\( \alpha = .80-.91; \) Smith et al., 2008 and \( \alpha = .86 \) in our sample).

Engagement was assessed using the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale for Students (UWES-S), a 17-item scale appraising how active and confident students feel towards their academic activities (Schaufeli and Bakker, 2004). The 17 items consider three subscales: vigour (vitality that leads to substantial effort in academic work; six items, e.g., ‘I feel fit and vigorous when I’m studying or I’m in class’), dedication (commitment to academic work; five items, e.g., ‘My study inspires me’) and absorption (positive immersion in academic work; six items, e.g., ‘When I am studying, I forget everything else around me’), which are rated on a seven-point Likert scale (0 = ‘Never’ to 6 = ‘Always (every day)’ (Schaufeli et al., 2002). UWES-S had high internal consistency (\( \alpha = .63-.81; \) Schaufeli and Bakker, 2004). In this study, the average of the total score for the engagement measure was used (Schaufeli and Bakker, 2004; \( \alpha = .90 \) in our sample).

Academic Motivation Scale (AMS; Vallerand et al., 1992) was used to assess motivation. AMS consists of 28 items that refer to three types of motivation: intrinsic motivation (12 items, e.g., ‘For the pleasure I experience when I discover new things never seen before’); (b) extrinsic motivation (12 items, e.g., ‘Because eventually it will enable me to enter the job market in a field that I like’); and (c) amotivation (four items, e.g., ‘I can’t see why I go to university and frankly, I couldn’t care less.’). Students are asked why they go to university (The original AMS used the word ‘college’ (CEGEP) however to adjust to the UK settings, it was changed to ‘university’.) and respond on a seven-point Likert scale (1 = ‘Does not correspond at all’ to 7 = ‘Corresponds exactly’). AMS demonstrated adequate to high internal consistency (\( \alpha = .62-91; \) Vallerand et al., 1992 and \( \alpha = .81-.92 \) in our sample). Each type of motivation was calculated by averaging the scores in all relevant items (Vallerand et al., 1992).

Lastly, mental well-being was measured using the Short Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (SWEMWBS; Ng Fat et al., 2017), the shortened version of the Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS; 14 items; Stewart-Brown and Jannmohamed, 2008). SWEMWBS consists of seven positively worded items (e.g., ‘I’ve been...')
feeling relaxed’) responded on a five-point Likert scale (1 = ‘None of the time’ to 5 = ‘All of the time’) reflecting the previous two weeks. The score was calculated by summing all items (Ng Fat et al., 2017). The internal consistency of SWEMWBS was high (α=.84; Ng Fat et al., 2017 and α=.89 in our sample).

2.5. Procedure

After securing ethical approval, an opportunity sample of nursing students was recruited, as noted in the Participants section. Tutors of nursing modules, who were not the researchers, announced about the study approximately two weeks in advance and distributed the paper-based questionnaire in the beginning of their lectures. Once filled, the questionnaires were collected by the tutor and handed to a research assistant (not the researcher of this study), who converted the data into a digital format.

2.6. Data analyses

Analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS version 25.0. First, using Little’s Missing Completely at Random (MCAR; Little, 1988), incomplete responses of p > .05 were recovered (n = 7). As noted in the Instrument section, all scales used in this study were validated with high reliability. Prior to this study, the same study design was used to explore mental well-being of other healthcare students (Kotera, Green and Sheffled, 2019a, 2019b). Second, the collected data were screened for outliers and the assumptions of parametric tests. Third, correlations between their self-compassion, resilience, engagement, motivation and mental well-being were calculated. Lastly, regression analyses predicting self-compassion by resilience, engagement, motivation and mental well-being were conducted. As all motivation variables and resilience were normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk’s test, p > .05), data were square-root-transformed to satisfy the assumption of normality (Field, 2017).

3. Results

No outliers were identified. All variables demonstrated good internal reliability in our sample (α=.81–.92; Table 1).

3.1. Relationships among self-compassion, resilience, engagement, motivation and mental well-being

Pearson’s correlation was calculated (Table 2). Self-compassion was positively related to age, resilience, engagement, intrinsic motivation and mental well-being, while negatively related to amotivation. Students who had high levels of self-compassion tended to be older, more resilient, engaged, intrinsically motivated and have better mental well-being and lower levels of amotivation. Resilience was positively associated with age, engagement and mental well-being while negatively associated with gender and amotivation. Students who were resilient tended to be older, male, more engaged and have better mental well-being and lower levels of amotivation. Engagement was positively related to age, intrinsic motivation and mental well-being, while negatively associated with amotivation. Students who were engaged tended to be older, intrinsically motivated, have better mental well-being and lower levels of amotivation. Intrinsic motivation was positively associated with extrinsic motivation and mental well-being. Intrinsically motivated students tended to be also extrinsically motivated and have better mental well-being. Extrinsic motivation was negatively related to age. Extrinsically motivated students tended to be younger. Lastly, mental well-being was positively related to age and negatively related to amotivation. Students who had good mental well-being tended to be older and have lower levels of amotivation.

3.2. Predictors of self-compassion

To explore the relative contribution of resilience, engagement, motivation and mental well-being to self-compassion, multiple regression analyses were performed (Supplemental Materials). First, gender and age were entered to adjust for their effects (step one) and then resilience, engagement, motivation and mental well-being were entered (step two). Extrinsic motivation was removed from this analysis as it was not significantly correlated to self-compassion. Multicollinearity was not a concern (VIF<.10). Adjusted coefficient of determination (Adj. R²) were reported.

Resilience, engagement, motivation and mental well-being accounted for 52% of the variance in self-compassion indicating a large effect size (Cohen, 1988). Resilience and mental well-being were significant predictors of self-compassion, where resilience (β = .50; one unit increase on the resilience scale is associated with .50 unit increase on the self-compassion scale) predicted self-compassion more strongly than mental well-being (β = .29; one unit increase on the mental well-being scale is associated with .29 unit increase on the self-compassion scale).

4. Discussion

This study aimed to elucidate relationships between self-compassion, resilience, engagement, motivation and mental well-being in nursing students. Our analysis revealed that self-compassion was positively related to resilience, engagement, intrinsic motivation and mental well-being, while negatively related to amotivation. Furthermore, resilience and mental well-being were identified as significant predictors for self-compassion, with resilience being the strongest predictor.

Most notable finding from the present study is that resilience was strongly related to self-compassion consistently. This may help educators place self-compassion (a rather new construct) in the context of their education curriculum, linking it with resilience (a more established construct). In nursing education, resilience has been introduced with its tailored definition: nurse student resilience, a developmental process, which occurs when a student successfully copes with stress and difficulties using the relevant coping mechanisms (Stephens, 2013). Resilience in nursing students was found important in positive educational outcomes such as academic success and empowerment (Thomas and Revel, 2016). It can be promoted in several ways including classroom training (Pines et al., 2014), social media (Stephens, 2012), as well as reflection and coaching (Hodges et al., 2005). Reflective or coaching practice was also found useful in reframing students’ negative experience into positive one by focusing on what they have learned (Hodges et al., 2005; Stephens, 2013). Our findings suggest that these practical interventions can also be used to cultivate students’ self-compassion. As critical resilience (keen self-awareness in relation to one’s impact to the society; Traynor, 2018) is highlighted in the current nursing practice—encouraging nurses to understand themselves and recognise their resilience more holistically (Traynor, 2018)—, connecting resilience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Descriptive statistics: Self-compassion, resilience, engagement, motivation and mental well-being in UK nursing students (n = 182).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scale (Construct)</td>
<td>Subscale (Range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Compassion Scale-Short Form (Self-Compassion)</td>
<td>Self-Compassion (1–5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief Utrecht Work Engagement Scale for Students (Engagement)</td>
<td>Resilience (1–6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utrecht Work Engagement Scale for Students (Engagement)</td>
<td>Engagement (0–6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Motivation Scale (Motivation)</td>
<td>Intrinsic Motivation (1–7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extrinsic Motivation (1–7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (Mental Well-being)</td>
<td>Amotivation (1–7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mental Well-being (7–35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with the self-compassion can be useful to nursing education. Educators can incorporate a self-compassion component into their existing resilience training.

In addition, a strong relationship between mental well-being and self-compassion identified in both correlation and regression analyses suggests that supporting nursing students’ mental well-being can also help cultivate their self-compassion. Previous studies reported that the social factor is especially important to nursing students’ mental well-being: good social support can enhance their mental well-being, while a lack of social support can harm it (Oates et al., 2019, 2020). Social support, including the quality of relationships with peers, was negatively associated with burnout (Luo et al., 2019), suicidal behaviours (Leal and Santos, 2016) and general health (Fang, Fang and Fang, 2020) in nursing students. Students’ evaluation for social support can be increased through social and recreational activities (Leal and Santos, 2016) and cultivating a caring culture in the programme and placement (Fang et al., 2020). These social approaches can also cultivate self-compassion in nursing students, via supporting their mental well-being. Future research needs to evaluate the effects of social approaches on self-compassion in nursing students.

4.1. Limitations

Several limitations to this study should be noted. First, opportunity sample was recruited at one university for participant recruitment, limiting the generalisability of the findings. Second, SCS-SF was used to measure self-compassion; however, there is an ongoing discussion about its accuracy (Kotera and Sheffield, 2020). Third, self-report measures were used, which may contain response biases (Kotera et al., 2020a,b). Fourth, our data were not normality distributed. Fifth, other possibly relevant constructs such as grid or hardiness were not explored. Lastly, the causality of these variables has not been elucidated. Longitudinal data would be needed to understand the temporal patterning of the observed relationships, which may help identify effective approaches in cultivating self-compassion in nursing students.

5. Conclusion

The importance of self-compassion has been increasingly reported, however, the importance of how to cultivate self-compassion is still under-researched. Our findings indicate that resilience and mental well-being were consistently and closely related to self-compassion. Nursing educators and practitioners may be able to incorporate self-compassion into the existing resilience training and/or mental well-being practices to help cultivate nursing students’ self-compassion. In addition to the classroom teaching, coaching practice to develop resilient reframing skills and social approaches to enhance mental well-being may improve nursing students’ self-compassion as well. Our findings can help to inform alternative approaches for cultivating nursing students’ self-compassion.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Gender (1 = M, 2 = F)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-.18*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Self-Compassion</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>.15*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td>-.17*</td>
<td>.18*</td>
<td>.69**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.36**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Intrinsic Motivation</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.48**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Extrinsic Motivation</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>-.17*</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.56**</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Amotivation</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>-.23**</td>
<td>-.19**</td>
<td>-.37**</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mental Well-being</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td>.61**</td>
<td>.55**</td>
<td>.49**</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .05, **p < .01. Point-biserial correlation coefficients were reported for gender.
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