



# #fitspo or #loveyourself? The impact of fitspiration and self-compassion Instagram images on women's body image, self-compassion, and mood



Amy Slater\*, Neesha Varsani, Phillippa C. Diedrichs

Centre for Appearance Research, University of the West of England, United Kingdom

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 22 December 2016  
Received in revised form 8 June 2017  
Accepted 14 June 2017  
Available online 6 July 2017

### Keywords:

Social Media  
Fitspiration  
Self-compassion  
Instagram  
Body image  
Body appreciation

## ABSTRACT

This study experimentally examined the impact of exposure to fitspiration images and self-compassion quotes on social media on young women's body satisfaction, body appreciation, self-compassion, and negative mood. Female undergraduate students ( $N = 160$ ) were randomly assigned to view either *Instagram* images of fitspiration, self-compassion quotes, a combination of both, or appearance-neutral images. Results showed no differences between viewing fitspiration images compared to viewing neutral images, except for poorer self-compassion among those who viewed fitspiration images. However, women who viewed self-compassion quotes showed greater body satisfaction, body appreciation, self-compassion, and reduced negative mood compared to women who viewed neutral images. Further, viewing a combination of fitspiration images and self-compassion quotes led to positive outcomes compared to viewing only fitspiration images. Trait levels of thin-ideal internalisation moderated some effects. The findings suggest that self-compassion might offer a novel avenue for attenuating the negative impact of social media on women's body satisfaction.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

A substantial body of literature has demonstrated that the mass media are a powerful and influential contributor to women's body dissatisfaction (Thompson, Heinberg, Altabe, & Tantleff-Dunn, 1999). Meta-analyses of correlational and experimental studies have confirmed that exposure to 'thin-ideal' images portrayed in magazines and on television is associated with body dissatisfaction among women (Grabe, Ward, & Hyde, 2008; Levine & Murnen, 2009; Want, 2009). More recently, research has found similar effects related to exposure to 'newer' forms of media, in particular the Internet and social media. Time spent on the Internet, and particularly on social networking sites such as *Facebook*, has been related to poorer body image outcomes for both adult women and adolescent girls (Fardouly, Diedrichs, Vartanian, & Halliwell, 2015a; Fardouly & Vartanian, 2015; Tiggemann & Slater, 2013). Engagement in particular activities within the social media environment (e.g., 'appearance-related' activities, photo sharing) may be especially influential on body image (McLean, Paxton, Wertheim, &

Masters, 2015; Meier & Gray, 2014). Given these findings, recent research has begun to examine the impact of one particular social networking service, *Instagram*, due to its sole focus on photo sharing and imagery. The current study investigates the impact of viewing two specific types of images found on *Instagram* (fitspiration and images containing self-compassion quotes) on women's body image, self-compassion, and mood.

### 1.1. Social media and body image

Social networking services, such as *Facebook*, *Snapchat*, and *Instagram*, are Internet-based sites that allow users to create public or private profiles, form a network of 'friends' or 'followers', and share, view, and comment on user-generated content (Perloff, 2014). Social networking services are now more popular than conventional media formats among young women (Bair, Kelly, Serdar, & Mazzeo, 2012), with 90% of 18–29 year old women reported to be active users of social media (Perrin et al., 2015).

A number of correlational studies have reported associations between exposure to *Facebook* and poorer body image in adult women (Fardouly & Vartanian, 2015) and adolescent girls (Tiggemann & Miller, 2010; Tiggemann & Slater, 2013, 2014). These studies have used 'time spent on *Facebook*' as an indicator of social media engagement, and found relationships

\* Corresponding author at: Centre for Appearance Research, University of the West of England, Frenchay Campus, Coldharbour Road, Bristol BS16 1QY, United Kingdom.  
E-mail address: [amy.slater@uwe.ac.uk](mailto:amy.slater@uwe.ac.uk) (A. Slater).

between this measure and self-objectification, weight dissatisfaction, thin-ideal internalisation, appearance comparison, and drive for thinness. Experimental studies (e.g., Fardouly, Diedrichs, Vartanian, & Halliwell, 2015b) have also found brief exposure to Facebook to be associated with poorer outcomes on mood and body image among women high in the tendency to make appearance comparisons. More recently, it has been proposed that rather than overall social media usage driving the association with poorer body image outcomes, a more nuanced approach, which considers specific components of the social media environment, may be required. Specifically, photo-based activities, such as sharing, viewing, and commenting on images of oneself and others, have been highlighted as playing an important role (Holland & Tiggemann, 2016). This suggestion has been supported in a study with American adolescent girls, whereby engagement in photo-based activities on Facebook was correlated with internalisation of the thin-ideal, self-objectification, and drive for thinness (Meier & Gray, 2014). Similarly, McLean et al. (2015) found that Australian adolescent girls who regularly share 'selfies', and who are more invested in, and more likely to manipulate (edit) their self-images, reported poorer body image.

Recently, Instagram (a social networking service solely for photo and video sharing) has risen in popularity, with over 600 million active users sharing over 95 million photos per day (Instagram, 2016). It is the second most used social networking site in the U.S. after Facebook, with 32% of US Internet users accessing Instagram weekly (Stein, 2017). Instagram is particularly popular with young women, with 59% of women aged 18–29 years using this service (Perrin et al., 2015).

A popular trend that has emerged on the Internet in recent years, and in particular on Instagram, is 'fitspiration.' Fitspiration (a blending of the words 'fitness' and 'inspiration') arose as an antidote to the trend of 'thinspiration' (a blending of 'thinness' and 'inspiration'), which glamorises thinness and promotes unhealthy eating habits (Ghaznavi & Taylor, 2015). Fitspiration consists of images and messages that purport to motivate people to exercise and pursue a healthier lifestyle (Abena, 2013), and aims to encourage strength and female empowerment (Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2015). However, content analyses have demonstrated that just like thinspiration, fitspiration also promotes a homogenous body shape (tall, lean, toned, and 'perfectly proportioned'), and often contains guilt-inducing messages, stigmatises weight and body fat, and emphasises dieting and restrictive eating (Boepple, Ata, Rum, & Thompson, 2016; Boepple & Thompson, 2016; Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2016). In terms of body image, the ideal body upheld in 'fitspiration' shares many features with the 'traditional' thin-ideal body (tall and extremely thin), but adds the further dimensions of fitness and (moderate) muscularity (Simpson & Mazzeo, 2016; Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2015). As such, it is likely to be just as unattainable for most women (Krane, Waldron, Michalenok, & Stiles-Shipley, 2001; Krane, Waldron, Stiles-Shipley, & Michalenok, 2001). Thus, despite its purported aim of empowerment and inspiration, it appears that fitspiration is likely to communicate messages that are potentially harmful to women's body image.

Indeed, a recent experimental study supported the claim that exposure to fitspiration images is detrimental to body satisfaction (Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2015). Australian undergraduate women who were exposed to fitspiration images were found to have increased body dissatisfaction and negative mood, and reduced state self-esteem compared to women who were exposed to appearance-neutral (travel) images. Trait appearance comparison tendency was found to mediate the effect of image type on mood, body dissatisfaction, and self-esteem (Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2015).

To date, there has been very little empirical consideration of potentially positive aspects of the social media environment.

One potentially positive feature of social media is that its user-generated nature allows for the possibility of a wider variety of images and content than has been customarily transmitted via traditional media channels. For example, YouTube video bloggers (vloggers) are increasingly popular, suggested to be in part due to their perceived authenticity (Morris & Anderson, 2015; Tolson, 2010). Individuals who do not fit the dominant thin-ideal standard of beauty (e.g., 'plus-sized' women, who have very rarely featured in traditional media imagery), have also increased in visibility in the social media environment, for example through 'plus-sized' fashion blogs and Instagram accounts (Scaraboto & Fischer, 2013). Studies also document that consumer demand for greater appearance diversity in media images is present among young adult consumers (e.g., Diedrichs, Lee, & Kelly, 2011).

### 1.2. Self-compassion

In recent years, research in the field of body image has made an important shift from a primary focus on body image disturbance to consideration of positive body image (Halliwell, 2015). Positive body image is a multi-faceted construct that incorporates an overarching love and respect for the body, appreciating the uniqueness of and feeling gratitude toward the body, and emphasising one's body's assets rather than dwelling on imperfection (Tylka & Wood-Barcalow, 2015b). A related, but somewhat broader concept, is self-compassion, which can be defined as engaging in self-kindness, rather than self-criticism, and learning to accept your own 'humanness' by understanding that having flaws and making mistakes are a part of human nature (Neff, 2003). Recently, Kelly, Vimalakanthan, and Miller, (2014) argued that self-compassion may play a protective role in women's body image concerns by promoting de-personalisation of disappointment and encouraging self-acceptance. Correlational research has demonstrated that women high in self-compassion experience less body shame and body surveillance, engage in fewer body comparisons, and place less emphasis on appearance as an indicator of self-worth (Daye, Webb, & Jafari, 2014; Kelly et al., 2014; Mosewich, Kowalski, Sabiston, Sedgwick, & Tracy, 2011; Wasylkiw, MacKinnon, & MacLellan, 2012). Further, self-compassion has been found to buffer the relationship between media thinness-related pressure and both disordered eating and thin-ideal internalisation (Tylka, Russell, & Neal, 2015). A recent systematic review of 28 studies concluded that self-compassion was consistently linked to lower levels of eating pathology, and was implicated as a protective factor against poor body image and eating pathology (Braun, Park, & Gorin, 2016).

These findings suggest that self-compassion might usefully be employed in intervention efforts aiming to reduce body dissatisfaction and/or increase positive body image. A recent study examined the impact of a self-compassion based meditation intervention on women's self-compassion, body appreciation, body shame, and body dissatisfaction. Women in the intervention condition listened to a podcast that focused on body sensations, affectionate breathing, and loving-kindness meditation for 20 min each day for 3 weeks. Compared to a waitlist control group, women who received the intervention were more self-compassionate, appreciative of their bodies, and experienced less body shame and dissatisfaction (Albertson, Neff, & Dill-Shackleford, 2015).

Representations of self-compassion are present on social media. On Instagram, the hashtag #selfcompassion yields over 60,000 images (June, 2017). Many of these images feature quotes such as "Cut yourself some slack. You're doing better than you think," "Be gentle with yourself," and "Do things with kindness," which embody the key features of self-compassion. A related hashtag, #selflove, yields over 8 million returns (June, 2017) and contains many similar quotes. Generally, these quotes are displayed on

'appearance-neutral' backgrounds (like wallpaper or scenery) and do not typically feature people. These messages of self-compassion are in contrast to the messages contained in the quotes that often accompany fitspiration images, such as "Get real or stay fat," and "To change your body you must first change your mind" (Boepple et al., 2016; Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2016). It seems plausible that exposure to messages promoting self-compassion may impact positively on women's body image, although this has yet be examined in a social media context.

### 1.3. The current study

The overall aim of the current study was to examine the impact of exposure to both fitspiration images and images containing self-compassion quotes on *Instagram* on women's state body satisfaction, body appreciation, negative mood, and self-compassion. Following Tiggemann and Zaccardo (2015), we hypothesized that women who viewed fitspiration images on *Instagram* would show lower levels of state body satisfaction, positive body image (i.e., body appreciation), self-compassion, and higher levels of negative mood than women who viewed appearance-neutral control images (interior design images) (Hypothesis 1). Second, we predicted that women who viewed self-compassion quotes would experience more positive body image and self-compassion, and reduced negative mood compared to women who viewed control images (Hypothesis 2). Finally, we aimed to investigate whether the addition of self-compassion quotes to fitspiration images can 'buffer' the expected negative effects of viewing fitspiration images. We predicted that women who viewed a combination of fitspiration and self-compassion images would experience more positive body image and self-compassion and reduced negative mood compared to women who viewed only fitspiration images (Hypothesis 3).

## 2. Method

### 2.1. Participants

Participants were 160 female undergraduate students studying in the south of England and Wales. The participants were aged between 18 and 25 years ( $M = 21.21$  years,  $SD = 2.06$ ), and had a mean Body Mass Index (BMI:  $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ) of 23.37 ( $SD = 2.76$ ), which falls within the "normal" weight range (World Health Organisation, 2016). Eighty-one (50.3%) participants identified themselves as White, 36 (22.4%) as Asian British/Asian other, 22 (13.7%) as Black British/Black other, 17 (10.6%) as mixed, and 4 (2.5%) as "other." The majority of the participants were psychology students ( $N = 42$ , 26.3%), 16 participants were studying Law (10.0%), 14 were studying Pharmacy (8.8%), and 12 were studying mathematics (7.5%), with the remainder ( $N = 76$ , 47.5%) studying a variety of other degrees (e.g., chemistry, criminology, biology, business, geography).

### 2.2. Design

The study employed a between-subjects experimental design with four levels of the independent variable *Instagram* image type (control, fitspiration, self-compassion, fitspiration and self-compassion). Participants were randomly assigned to one of the four conditions using restricted randomisation with minimisation. This method ensures moderately equal cell sizes and is considered methodologically equivalent to randomisation (Moher, Schulz, & Altman, 2001). The major dependent variables were state body dissatisfaction, body appreciation, self-compassion, and negative mood. Trait tendency for appearance comparison and for internal-

isation of the thin ideal were examined as potential moderating variables.

### 2.3. Experimental stimuli

Four *Instagram* accounts were created for the present study, each containing 20 images sourced from public *Instagram* accounts. For the control condition featuring appearance-neutral images, the search term 'interior design' was used to select images of home interiors that did not contain any text or human bodies. Images for the 'fitspiration' condition depicted young women with lean and toned bodies wearing form-fitting work-out clothing. Half of these images consisted of women actively engaging in physical activity, while the remainder consisted of women posing 'passively' for the camera. These images were sourced from *Instagram* images containing the hashtag 'fitspiration' or 'fitspo.' The 'self-compassion' images were sourced from *Instagram* accounts with the words 'self-compassion,' 'self-love,' or 'positive body image' in the name, and contained quotes that conveyed the basic principles of self-compassion, self-acceptance, and understanding one's own imperfections. These images contained a self-compassion quote, and usually background patterns or images (e.g., flowers, geometric shapes), but did not contain any images of human bodies. The 'fitspiration and self-compassion' condition contained 15 of the images used in the 'fitspiration' condition and five of the images used in the 'self-compassion' condition (in an attempt to more closely replicate likely *Instagram* usage). Hashtags were added to all images (e.g., #fitspo, #selfacceptance, #innerbeauty) to enhance ecological validity.

The 20 images used in the 'fitspiration' and 'self-compassion' conditions were selected from an initial pool of 60 images per condition, which were pilot tested with 30 women aged 18–25 years. The women were provided with a definition of 'fitspiration' (*The term "FITSPIRATION," incorporating the words "fitness" and "inspiration," is a motivational message that attempts to encourage individuals to 'persevere' and 'push' themselves to exercise and pursue a healthier lifestyle. Images of this term tend to depict women in work-out gear with very fit and toned bodies either engaging in a form of exercise or passively posing for the camera*) and 'self-compassion' (*The term "SELF-COMPASSION" is defined as the ability to recognise and accept that everybody has imperfections and will encounter situations in which they feel inadequate. Self-compassion is about accepting and honouring your 'humanness' and rather than engaging in self-criticism you treat yourself with kindness and understand that making mistakes is a part of everyday life. It's also about understanding that these personal inadequacies are a shared human experience – it's something that everybody goes through rather than something that happens to "me" alone*) and asked to rate each image on the extent to which the image met the definition (1 = definitely disagree, 6 = definitely agree). In addition, the images were rated as to whether they were typical of the images one would see on *Instagram*. For each condition, the 20 images that scored most highly across these two questions were selected.

### 2.4. Measures

#### 2.4.1. Instagram and social media usage

Participants were asked to indicate whether or not they had an *Instagram* account, how much time they spent on *Instagram* per day (*no time*; <10 min; 10–30 min; 31–60 min; >60 min), the number of accounts they follow (open response), how often they post pictures (*never*; *less than once per month*; *once a month*; *2–3 times per month*; *once a week*; *2–3 times per week*; *daily*), and what their uploaded pictures mainly consist of (*selfies*; *pictures of yourself or friends taken by someone else*; *food*; *possessions/items*; *scenery and places*; *animals*; *other people such as family, friends, celebrities*; *memes/quotes*; *other*).

Participants were also asked to specify any other social networking services they used (e.g., *Facebook*, *Pinterest*, *Twitter*, *Tumblr*), and to indicate their average daily use on these sites.

#### 2.4.2. Body satisfaction

State body satisfaction was measured before and after viewing the *Instagram* images using three Visual Analogue Scales (VAS) following Heinberg and Thompson (1995) and Tiggemann and Zaccardo (2015). Each VAS consisted of a 100-point horizontal line with the end-points labelled *not at all* and *extremely*. Participants were requested to indicate how they were feeling 'right now' by moving a marker to any point along the horizontal line. The three VAS (*satisfied with my weight*, *satisfied with my overall appearance*, and *satisfied with my body shape*) were averaged to create a body satisfaction score (pre- and post-image exposure). Lower scores are indicative of higher body dissatisfaction, whereas higher scores indicate higher body satisfaction. Internal reliability was high (pre-exposure,  $\alpha = .96$ ; post-exposure,  $\alpha = .98$ ).

#### 2.4.3. Body appreciation

State body appreciation was measured before and after viewing the *Instagram* images using three VAS. The three items ('Despite my flaws, I accept my body for what it is,' 'My feelings towards my body are positive for the most part,' and 'My self-worth is independent of my body shape or weight') were taken from the Body Appreciation Scale (Avalos, Tylka, & Wood-Barcalow, 2005) and adapted into a state measure by asking participants how they were feeling 'right now.' As above, the items were averaged to create pre- and post-exposure body appreciation scores. Internal reliability was high (pre-exposure,  $\alpha = .90$ ; post-exposure,  $\alpha = .92$ ).

#### 2.4.4. Self-compassion

State self-compassion was measured before and after viewing the *Instagram* images using two VAS, with two items adapted from the Self-Compassion Scale (Neff, 2003). The two items were taken from the self-kindness subscale ("I give myself the caring and tenderness I need" and "I try to be patient and understanding towards the aspects of myself I don't like"). The wording of the latter item was modified from 'personality' to 'myself' to broaden its applicability. The items were adapted into a state measure by asking participants how they were feeling 'right now.' Internal reliability was high (pre-exposure,  $\alpha = .87$ , post-exposure,  $\alpha = .92$ ).

#### 2.4.5. Negative mood

State negative mood was measured before and after viewing the *Instagram* images with four VAS asking participants to report on how 'anxious,' 'depressed,' 'happy,' and 'confident' they were feeling 'right now.' Scores were averaged (with the two positive mood scales reversed) to create a negative mood score, with higher scores indicating a greater state of negative mood. Internal reliability was high (pre-exposure,  $\alpha = .91$ , post-exposure  $\alpha = .93$ ).

#### 2.4.6. Trait appearance comparison

The Physical Appearance Comparison Scale (PACS; Thompson, Heinberg, & Tantleff, 1991) was used to assess the tendency for making appearance comparisons. Participants indicated the frequency with which they engage in appearance comparison behaviours in different social settings (e.g., "In social situations I sometimes compare my figure to the figures of other people"). Participants responded to five items using a 5-point response scale range from *never* (1) to *always* (5). Scores were summed, with higher scores indicating a greater tendency for appearance comparison. In the present sample, the internal reliability was unacceptably low ( $\alpha = .51$ ); however, it improved following the removal of the one negatively-worded item ( $\alpha = .92$ ).

#### 2.4.7. Trait thin-ideal internalisation

The extent to which participants had internalised the thin-ideal was measured using the general internalisation subscale of the Sociocultural Attitudes Towards Appearance Scale-3 (SATAQ-3; Thompson, van den Berg, Roehrig, Guarda, & Heinberg, 2004). Participants rated the extent to which they agreed with nine statements (e.g., "I compare my body to the bodies of people who are on TV") using a 5-point scale (1 = *definitely disagree*, 5 = *definitely agree*). Internal consistency was high ( $\alpha = .95$ ).

### 2.5. Procedure

The institutional ethics committee approved the study. Participants were recruited to a study on "*Instagram* and memory recall." Specifically, participants were told that the research was investigating whether using *Instagram* had an impact on memory recall and attention and whether personality characteristics influenced this. They were either recruited via an online psychology participant pool, and received course credit for participation, or were approached on university grounds (libraries and study areas), and were entered into a prize draw for a shopping voucher. After providing informed consent, participants were randomly allocated to one of four experimental conditions (control, fitinspiration, self-compassion, fitinspiration and self-compassion). They completed all measures and viewed the *Instagram* images on an *iPad*. Participants first completed measures of general social networking usage and then completed baseline VAS of body satisfaction, self-compassion, body appreciation, and negative mood. They were then presented with an *Instagram* account containing 20 images and were asked to view the images for 5 min. Participants were not required to view each image for a specific length of time, rather could move back and forth through the images at their own pace. Following exposure to the *Instagram* account, participants completed the post-exposure VAS measures, and the measures of trait appearance comparison and internalisation of the thin ideal. In order to ensure attention to the images, and to aid the cover story, participants were asked to confirm that they viewed the *Instagram* account, and were also asked to recall a number of features of the *Instagram* account they had just viewed (e.g., features of the images, words seen, hashtags used). All participants confirmed they had viewed their respective *Instagram* account, and all participants recalled at least five hashtags consistent and accurate to their condition (e.g., participants in the self-compassion condition only recalled hashtags relevant to self-compassion). Based on these findings, we are confident that participants attended to the images. The entire experimental procedure lasted approximately 20 min per participant.

### 2.6. Analytic strategy

#### 2.6.1. Preliminary analyses

Data screening revealed minimal missing data (<1% across all variables) and consequently list-wise deletion was employed. Skew, kurtosis, and multicollinearity were acceptable. There were no univariate or multivariate outliers. Analyses of variance indicated there was no significant difference between the conditions on age,  $F(3, 159) = 1.26, p = .291$ , or BMI,  $F(3, 159) = 0.54, p = .654$ . Additionally, chi-square analysis revealed no significant difference between conditions on time spent on *Instagram*,  $\chi^2(12) = 13.80, p = .313$ . ANOVAs were also conducted to assess the equivalence of trait comparison and internalisation across conditions to determine if they could be considered as moderators. There was no difference in internalisation between conditions,  $F(3, 156) = 1.82, p = .145$ . However, appearance comparisons differed significantly between conditions,  $F(3, 156) = 4.24, p = .007$ . Bonferroni post-hoc comparisons revealed participants in the fitinspiration condition had a significantly greater tendency to make appearance comparisons

( $M = 3.11$ ,  $SD = 0.67$ ) compared to those in the self-compassion condition ( $M = 2.89$ ,  $SD = 0.53$ ), with no differences between the other conditions. Therefore, we could not be confident that the measure of appearance comparisons was not reactive to the experimental manipulation. Consequently, appearance comparisons were removed as a moderator from subsequent analyses.

### 2.6.2. Main analyses

Eight hierarchical moderated multiple regression analyses were conducted to investigate the impact of *Instagram* exposure condition on each of the primary outcomes: state body dissatisfaction, body appreciation, self-compassion, and negative mood respectively. The regression analyses also analysed the potential moderating effects of trait internalisation. BMI was significantly correlated with post-exposure body image. Therefore, mean-centered BMI scores were entered as a covariate at Step 1, alongside mean-centered baseline scores on the outcome measures. Experimental condition was dummy coded into orthogonal contrasts and entered at Step 2. Mean-centered scores on trait thin-ideal internalisation were entered at Step 3. Finally, interaction terms between each of the condition contrasts and the moderator internalisation were entered at Step 4.

The eight regression analyses were structured in the same way, but each regression analysis differed at Steps 2 and 4, with different condition contrasts and corresponding interaction terms. In the first four regression analyses, the control condition was nominated as the comparison condition, with the contrasts including *control vs. fitspiration*, *control vs. self-compassion*, and *control vs. fitspiration and self-compassion*, respectively, to test Hypotheses 1 and 2. The results from these regression analyses are reported in Tables 1–4. In the remaining four regression analyses, the contrasts were restructured with the fitspiration condition as the comparison condition, to allow for the comparison of *fitspiration vs. fitspiration and self-compassion* conditions to test Hypothesis 3. Only results pertaining to the coefficients and corresponding interaction terms of the unique contrast *fitspiration vs. fitspiration and self-compassion* are reported in Tables 1–4, as the remaining results were identical to the previous analyses. As the moderator, thin-ideal internalisation was mean-centered in the regression analyses, the mean differences ( $B$ ,  $\beta$ ) in the outcome variables reported indicate the difference between conditions at mean levels of thin-ideal internalisation ( $M = 3.16$ ,  $SD = 0.97$ ). When significant moderation was observed (i.e., interaction terms were significant), simple slopes analyses were conducted in accordance with Jaccard and Turrisi (2003) to explore the differences in the outcome between conditions at low ( $-1SD$ ) and high ( $+1SD$ ) levels of internalisation.

A partial Bonferonni adjustment was used to assess the significance of the coefficients in the regression models ( $p < .028$ ) due to the significant mean correlation ( $r = .73$ ) between the outcome variables (Perneger, 1998). Using G\*Power 3.1 (Faul, Erdfelder, Buchner, & Lang, 2009), we conducted a post-hoc power analysis with a sample size of 160, a nine predictor variable equation (two covariates, three dummy coded conditions, one continuous moderator, three interaction terms), and the partial Bonferonni adjusted alpha level of  $p < .028$ . Statistical power was .15 for detecting small effects ( $f^2 = .02$ ), .94 for detecting moderate effects ( $f^2 = .15$ ), and 1.0 for detecting large effects ( $f^2 = .35$ ; Cohen, 1988). Consequently, there was sufficient power in the regression analyses to detect moderate and large effects, but insufficient power to detect small effects. A total sample size of 787 would have been necessary to detect significance of small effects ( $f^2 = .02$ ) at power of .80 on the dummy coded predictor variables and their corresponding interaction terms, and this was not feasible in the current study.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Instagram and social media usage

One hundred and twenty participants (75%) reported having an *Instagram* account, 140 (87.5%) had a *Facebook* account, 62 (38.8%) had a *Twitter* account, 17 (10.6%) had a *Pinterest* account, 11 (6.9%) had a *Tumblr* account, and 12 (7.5%) reported using another form of social media (e.g., *Snapchat*). Modal time spent on *Instagram* was 31–60 min per day. Participants reported having an average of 867.7 ‘followers’ ( $SD = 1614.6$ ) on *Instagram*, and ‘following’ an average of 848.6 other accounts ( $SD = 1554.9$ ). All participants (100.0%) reported using their smartphone/iPad as their primary device for using *Instagram*. Just over one half of *Instagram* users (54.1%) reported posting a picture at least once a week or more, with ‘selfies/group selfies’ being the most commonly posted type of picture (45.8%).

### 3.2. Body satisfaction

Table 1 presents a summary of the regression analyses for body satisfaction, including change statistics for each step and beta coefficients for each predictor in the final model. The final model with all predictors and interaction terms accounted for a significant proportion of the total variation in participants’ post-exposure state body satisfaction ( $R^2 = .78$ , *adjusted*  $R^2 = .61$ ,  $F(9, 149) = 25.84$ ,  $p < .001$ ). Contrary to our hypothesis, the non-significant coefficient for the ‘control vs. fitspiration’ contrast indicated that there was no post-exposure difference in body satisfaction between the control condition ( $M = 50.24$ ) and those who viewed fitspiration images ( $M = 44.94$ ). Consistent with our hypotheses, however, the significant coefficient for the ‘control vs. self-compassion’ contrast indicated that women who viewed self-compassion images ( $M = 67.48$ ) reported significantly greater body satisfaction than those who viewed control images ( $M = 50.24$ ). The significant interaction term indicated that these results were moderated by trait thin-ideal internalisation. Simple slopes analysis revealed that, among women low in thin-ideal internalisation, there was no difference in body satisfaction between those who viewed self-compassion ( $M = 61.70$ ) and control images ( $M = 53.76$ ;  $\beta = .15$ ,  $t = 1.68$ ,  $p = .095$ ). However, like women with mean levels of thin-ideal internalisation (as indicated by the significant coefficient for ‘control vs. self-compassion’ contrast in the main regression analysis reported above), simple slopes analyses indicated that women high in thin-ideal internalisation reported significantly greater body satisfaction at post-exposure after viewing self-compassion images ( $M = 73.99$ ) compared to those who viewed control images ( $M = 46.65$ ;  $\beta = .29$ ,  $t = 4.34$ ,  $p < .001$ ). Also consistent with our hypothesis, the significant coefficient for the ‘fitspiration vs. self-compassion and fitspiration’ contrast in the main regression analysis indicated that women who viewed fitspiration and self-compassion images ( $M = 56.95$ ) reported greater body satisfaction than those who viewed fitspiration images only ( $M = 44.94$ ). This effect was not moderated by thin-ideal internalisation.

### 3.3. Body appreciation

Table 2 presents a summary of the regression analyses for body appreciation. The final model with all predictors and interaction terms accounted for a significant proportion of the total variation in participants’ post-exposure state body appreciation ( $R^2 = .78$ , *adjusted*  $R^2 = .60$ ,  $F(9, 149) = 24.39$ ,  $p < .001$ ). Contrary to our hypothesis, the nonsignificant coefficient for the ‘control vs. fitspiration’ indicated that there was no post-exposure difference in body appreciation between the control condition ( $M = 53.63$ ) and those who viewed fitspiration images ( $M = 47.45$ ). Consistent

**Table 1**  
Body satisfaction regression analysis.

Step and variable	B	$\beta$	t	95% CI for B		$sr^2$	$R^2$	Adj $R^2$	$\Delta R^2$	df	$\Delta F$
				Lower	Upper						
Step 1							.45	.44	.45	2, 156	63.40***
Body mass index	−0.65	−.08	−1.43	−1.54	0.25	.00					
Baseline body satisfaction	0.65	.64	11.36***	0.54	0.76	.34					
Step 2							.56	.55	.12	3, 153	14.10***
Control vs. fitspo (1)	−5.31	−.10	−1.61	−11.84	1.23	.00					
Control vs. self-comp (2)	17.24	.33	4.97***	10.39	24.08	.06					
Control vs. self-comp & fitspo (3)	6.70	.13	2.01	0.12	13.28	.01					
Fitspo vs. self-comp & fitspo (4)	12.01	.23	3.65***	5.51	18.50	.03					
Step 3							.58	.56	.01	1, 152	2.26
Thin-ideal internalisation (Int)	−3.63	−.16	−1.63	−8.01	0.76	.00					
Step 4							.61	.59	.04	3, 149	4.389*
1 × Int	2.91	.07	0.93	−3.25	9.06	.00					
2 × Int	9.58	.17	2.53*	2.11	17.06	.02					
3 × Int	−4.47	−.09	−1.31	−11.24	2.30	.00					
4 × Int	−7.38	−.14	−2.13	−14.23	−0.53	.01					

Note: B,  $\beta$ , t,  $sr^2$  from the final model. \* $p < .028$ . \*\* $p < .001$ . \*\*\* $p < .0001$ . 'Fitspo' = fitspiration, 'self-comp' = self-compassion, 'Int' = thin-ideal internalisation. 'Fitspiration vs. self-compassion & fitspiration' contrast and its interaction term are from a separate but identically structured regression analysis with corresponding dummy codes entered at Steps 2 and 4.

**Table 2**  
Body appreciation regression analysis.

Step and variable	B	$\beta$	t	95% CI for B		$sr^2$	$R^2$	Adj $R^2$	$\Delta R^2$	df	$\Delta F$
				Lower	Upper						
Step 1							.44	.43	.44	2, 156	61.09***
Body mass index	−0.29	−.04	−0.76	−1.05	0.47	.02					
Baseline body appreciation	0.61	.65	11.55***	0.51	0.72	.36					
Step 2							.57	.56	.13	3, 153	15.45***
Control vs. fitspo (1)	6.27	.14	−2.17	−11.98	−0.56	.01					
Control vs. self-comp (2)	13.57	.30	4.47***	7.57	19.57	.05					
Control vs. self-comp & fitspo (3)	3.15	.07	1.08	−2.59	8.90	.00					
Fitspo vs. self-comp & fitspo (4)	9.42	.21	3.28**	3.74	15.11	.03					
Step 3							.58	.57	.01	1, 152	4.38
Thin-ideal internalisation (Int)	−2.45	−.12	−1.25	−6.31	1.42	.00					
Step 4							.60	.57	.01	3, 149	1.72
1 × Int	0.58	.02	0.21	−4.81	5.98	.00					
2 × Int	4.31	.09	1.31	−2.19	10.80	.00					
3 × Int	−3.59	−.08	−1.20	−9.51	2.34	.00					
4 × Int	−4.17	−.10	−1.38	−2.88	10.32	.01					

Note: B,  $\beta$ , t,  $sr^2$  from the final model. \* $p < .028$ . \*\* $p < .001$ . \*\*\* $p < .0001$ . 'Fitspo' = fitspiration, 'self-comp' = self-compassion, 'Int' = thin-ideal internalisation. 'Fitspiration vs. self-compassion & fitspiration' contrast and its interaction term are from a separate but identically structured regression analysis with corresponding dummy codes entered at Steps 2 and 4.

with our hypothesis, however, the significant coefficient for the 'control vs. self-compassion' contrast indicated that women who viewed self-compassion images ( $M = 66.00$ ) reported significantly greater body appreciation than those who viewed control images ( $M = 53.63$ ). Also consistent with our hypothesis, the significant coefficient for the 'fitspiration vs. self-compassion and fitspiration' contrast indicated that women who viewed fitspiration and self-compassion images ( $M = 56.70$ ) reported greater body appreciation than those who viewed fitspiration images only ( $M = 47.45$ ). These effects were not moderated by thin-ideal internalisation.

### 3.4. Self-compassion

Table 3 presents a summary of the regression analyses for self-compassion. The final model with all predictors and interaction terms accounted for a significant proportion of the total variation in participants' post-exposure state self-compassion ( $R^2 = .73$ , adjusted  $R^2 = .53$ ,  $F(9, 149) = 18.84$ ,  $p < .001$ ). Consistent with our hypothesis, the significant coefficient for the 'control vs. fitspiration' indicated women who viewed fitspiration images ( $M = 46.56$ ) reported significantly less self-compassion than women in the control condition ( $M = 54.83$ ). This effect was not moderated by

thin-ideal internalisation. Also, consistent with our hypothesis, the significant coefficient for the 'control vs. self-compassion' contrast indicated that women who viewed self-compassion images ( $M = 65.82$ ) reported significantly greater self-compassion than those who viewed control images ( $M = 54.83$ ). The significant interaction term indicated that these results were moderated by trait thin-ideal internalisation. Simple slopes analysis revealed that, among women low in thin-ideal internalisation, there was no difference in self-compassion between those who viewed self-compassion ( $M = 61.41$ ) and control images ( $M = 57.89$ ;  $\beta = .09$ ,  $t = 0.862$ ,  $p = .390$ ). However, like women with average levels of thin-ideal internalisation (as indicated by the significant coefficient for 'control vs. self-compassion' contrast in the main regression analysis reported above), simple slopes analyses indicated that women high in internalisation reported significantly greater self-compassion at post-exposure after viewing self-compassion images ( $M = 70.85$ ) compared to those who viewed control images ( $M = 51.71$ ;  $\beta = .46$ ,  $t = -4.13$ ,  $p < .001$ ). Also consistent with our hypothesis, the significant coefficient for the 'fitspiration vs. self-compassion & fitspiration' contrast in the main regression analysis indicated that women who viewed fitspiration and self-compassion images ( $M = 55.82$ ) reported greater self-compassion than those

who viewed fitspiration images only ( $M = 46.56$ ). These effects were not moderated by thin-ideal internalisation.

### 3.5. Negative mood

Table 4 presents a summary of the regression analyses for negative mood. The final model with all predictors and interaction terms accounted for a significant proportion of the total variation in participants' post-exposure state mood ( $R^2 = .82$ , adjusted  $R^2 = .67$ ,  $F(9, 149) = 33.49$ ,  $p < .001$ ). Contrary to our hypothesis, the non-significant coefficient for the 'control vs. fitspiration' indicated that there was no post-exposure difference in negative mood between the control condition ( $M = 45.25$ ) and those who viewed fitspiration images ( $M = 47.25$ ). Consistent with our hypothesis, however, the significant coefficient for the 'control vs. self-compassion' contrast indicated that women who viewed self-compassion images ( $M = 35.08$ ) reported significantly less negative mood than those who viewed control images ( $M = 45.19$ ). The significant interaction term indicated that these results were moderated by trait thin-ideal internalisation. Specifically, simple slopes analysis revealed that, among women low in thin-ideal internalisation, there was no difference in mood between those who viewed self-compassion ( $M = 36.39$ ) and control images ( $M = 40.98$ ;  $\beta = -.09$ ,  $t = -1.07$ ,  $p = .286$ ). However, women high in thin-ideal internalisation reported significantly less negative mood at post-exposure after viewing self-compassion images ( $M = 34.80$ ) compared to those who viewed control images ( $M = 46.03$ ;  $\beta = -.24$ ,  $t = -4.08$ ,  $p < .001$ ). Also consistent with our hypothesis, the significant coefficient for the 'fitspiration vs. self-compassion and fitspiration' contrast indicated women who viewed fitspiration and self-compassion images ( $M = 36.92$ ) reported less negative mood than those who viewed fitspiration images only ( $M = 47.25$ ). This effect was not moderated by thin-ideal internalisation.

## 4. Discussion

The overarching aim of the present study was to examine the impact of fitspiration images and self-compassion quotes on *Instagram* on women's body image, self-compassion, and negative mood. Contrary to Hypothesis 1, the current study did not find that exposure to fitspiration images resulted in significantly poorer body image and negative mood compared to exposure to neutral *Instagram* images. Consequently, we did not replicate the significant findings of Tiggemann and Zaccardo (2015) on these outcomes. This might be explained by methodological discrepancies between the two studies (e.g., different control images: travel in the Tiggemann & Zaccardo study, interior design in the current study). However, this appears unlikely given the overwhelming similarity on most other design characteristics (e.g., similar number and content of fitspiration images). Given that the present study is only the second experimental study to examine the impact of fitspiration images on body image and mood, the inconsistent findings indicate that further replication is necessary to fully elucidate the impact of exposure to this particular type of imagery.

Interestingly, although we found no differences on body image and mood, we found that women who viewed fitspiration images reported significantly less self-compassion at post-exposure than women who viewed control images. Consequently, viewing fitspiration images on *Instagram* does not appear to be benign, and we found partial support for Hypothesis 1. This finding might be explained by the fact that fitspiration images and hashtags often reference or imply the need for self-control and discomfort to achieve goals, and can therefore contain guilt-inducing messages (Boepple et al., 2016; Boepple & Thompson, 2016). This is in direct

contrast to the concept of self-compassion, which advocates loving self-kindness and being non-judgemental to oneself.

The second aim of the current study was to examine the impact of exposure to self-compassion quotes on women's body image and mood. Although some research has suggested that self-compassion may operate as a buffer against poor body image by potentially disrupting the pathway by which risk factors operate (Albertson et al., 2015; Braun et al., 2016; Homan & Tylka, 2015; Tylka & Kroon Van Diest, 2015), no research prior to the present study had examined the potential impact of viewing quotes that encapsulate the key messages of self-compassion in the social media environment. Our findings show that brief exposure to such quotes may be beneficial to women's body image, levels of self-compassion, and mood. Compared to women who viewed neutral images, women who viewed self-compassion quotes on *Instagram* reported greater body satisfaction, body appreciation, self-compassion, and lower negative mood. This is an interesting and novel finding that offers some hope for a possible positive influence of social media. While quotes that appear to encapsulate self-compassion seem ubiquitous on social media (with #selflove retuning over 8 million images on *Instagram*), the fact that viewing a relatively small number of these quotes (20) for a brief period (5 min) led to women feeling more positively about their bodies, more self-compassionate, and happier, is noteworthy.

The final aim of the current study was to investigate whether the addition of self-compassion quotes to fitspiration images could buffer the expected negative effects of viewing fitspiration images. Unfortunately, given the lack of negative effects from exposure to fitspiration images, it was not possible to demonstrate a true buffering effect. However, as predicted in Hypothesis 3, women who viewed a combination of fitspiration and self-compassion images displayed more body satisfaction, body appreciation, and self-compassion, and less negative mood compared to women who viewed only fitspiration images (and did not differ compared to viewing the neutral control images). The fact that the inclusion of only five self-compassion quotes alongside 15 images of lean and toned bodies resulted in participants feeling more positively towards their bodies is noteworthy, further highlighting the potential benefit of viewing self-compassionate content in the social media environment.

This study also investigated whether the impact of viewing fitspiration and self-compassion *Instagram* images was moderated by trait levels of thin-ideal internalisation. Prior research indicates that women with higher levels of thin-ideal internalisation of sociocultural appearance ideals are more likely to be affected by exposure to thin-ideal media imagery than women with lower levels of internalisation (Halliwell & Diedrichs, 2012). However, in the current study, we found that thin-ideal internalisation did not moderate the impact of viewing fitspiration images relative to control or relative to fitspiration and self-compassion images. This unexpected finding may be because the measure of thin-ideal internalisation used in the current study questions the extent to which individuals wish to be like, and compare themselves to, models and people seen in traditional media formats (e.g., television, magazines). Alternatively, social media image feeds, such as those on *Instagram*, can contain a mixture of images of real-life friends and acquaintances, and celebrities. Therefore, the relationship between thin-ideal internalisation as it is commonly measured and social media exposure may not be as straightforward as has been previously observed in studies examining traditional media exposure.

Nonetheless, the impact of viewing self-compassion images relative to control images was moderated by thin-ideal internalisation. Specifically, improvements in body satisfaction, self-compassion, and mood were only observed among women with average and high levels of thin-ideal internalisation. This was not the case with body appreciation, however. The impact of expo-

**Table 3**  
Self-compassion regression analysis.

Step and variable	B	$\beta$	t	95% CI for B		sr <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	Adj R <sup>2</sup>	$\Delta R^2$	df	$\Delta F$
				Lower	Upper						
Step 1							.35	.34	.35	2, 156	41.14***
Body mass index	−0.30	−.05	−0.81	−1.04	0.44	.00					
Baseline self-compassion	0.53	.54	9.09***	0.42	0.65	.26					
Step 2							.47	.46	.13	3, 153	12.39***
Control vs. fitspo (1)	−8.26	−.20	−2.88*	−13.94	−2.59	.03					
Control vs. self-comp (2)	10.99	.27	3.68***	5.10	16.89	.04					
Control vs. self-comp & fitspo (3)	0.99	.02	0.34	−4.69	6.66						
Fitspo vs. self-comp & fitspo (4)	9.25	.23	3.27**	3.66	14.84	.03					
Step 3							.47	.47	.02	1, 152	5.48*
Thin-ideal internalisation (Int)	−3.15	−.17	−1.65	−6.92	0.62	.00					
Step 4							.50	.50	.04	3, 149	4.33*
1 × Int	0.93	.03	0.35	−4.41	6.27	.01					
2 × Int	7.70	.18	2.35*	1.22	14.18	.00					
3 × Int	−4.78	−.12	−1.62	−10.59	1.04	.02					
4 × Int	−5.71	−.14	−1.92	−11.58	0.165	.01					

Notes: B,  $\beta$ , t, sr<sup>2</sup> from the final model. \*p < .028. \*\*p < .001. \*\*\*p < .0001. 'Fitspo' = fitspiration, 'self-comp' = Self-compassion, 'Int' = thin-ideal internalisation. 'Fitspiration vs. self-compassion & fitspiration' contrast and its interaction term are from a separate but identically structured regression analysis with corresponding dummy codes entered at Steps 2 and 4.

**Table 4**  
Negative mood regression analysis.

Step and variable	B	$\beta$	t	95% CI for B		sr <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	Adj R <sup>2</sup>	$\Delta R^2$	df	$\Delta F$
				Lower	Upper						
Step 1							.58	.58	.58	2, 156	107.90***
Body mass index	0.28	.04	0.72	−0.49	1.05	.00					
Baseline negative mood	0.68	.74	14.62***	0.59	0.77	.47					
Step 2							.64	.63	.06	3, 153	8.64***
Control vs. fitspo (1)	−2.05	.04	0.70	−3.79	7.92	.00					
Control vs. self-comp (2)	−12.60	−.25	−4.05***	−18.75	−6.45	.04					
Control vs. self-comp & fitspo (3)	−5.44	.11	−1.84	−11.30	0.42	.01					
Fitspo vs. self-comp & fitspo (4)	−7.49	−.15	−2.54*	−13.31	−1.67	.01					
Step 3							.65	.64	.01	1, 152	4.39
Thin-ideal internalisation (Int)	4.34	.19	2.17	0.39	8.29	.01					
Step 4							.67	.65	.02	3, 149	2.69
1 × Int	−2.50	−.06	−0.89	−8.03	3.03	.00					
2 × Int	−8.26	.15	−2.40*	−15.06	−1.45	.01					
3 × Int	1.26	.03	0.41	−4.83	7.34	.00					
4 × Int	3.99	.07	1.16	−2.81	10.79	.00					

Note: B,  $\beta$ , t, sr<sup>2</sup> from the final model. \*p < .028. \*\*p < .001. \*\*\*p < .0001. 'Fitspo' = fitspiration, 'self-comp' = self-compassion, 'Int' = thin-ideal internalisation. 'Fitspiration vs. self-compassion & fitspiration' contrast and its interaction term are from a separate but identically structured regression analysis with corresponding dummy codes entered at Steps 2 and 4.

sure to media imagery on body appreciation is its infancy, as is research evaluating the impact of self-compassion interventions on body image. Therefore, it is difficult to know the reason for these nonsignificant findings. One explanation could be that women who have a propensity to internalise appearance ideals are less likely to follow self-compassion related content on social media in their everyday lives. Consequently, there may have been greater room for state-based improvements after observing self-compassion quotes on *Instagram* in the current study for these women. This explanation is purely speculative, however, and it does not explain why there were no effects on body appreciation. Unfortunately, our data cannot provide insights into the underlying mechanisms for these effects. Further, it should be noted that only three items of the Body Appreciation Scale (Avalos et al., 2005) were used to measure body appreciation in the current study, and two of these items were not retained in the revised Body Appreciation Scale-2 (Tylka & Wood-Barcalow, 2015a). Further research is necessary to understand and replicate the current findings regarding the role of thin-internalisation and body appreciation in the social media environment.

Taken together, the present study's findings suggest that self-compassion might offer a practical avenue for attenuating the known negative impact of social media on women's

body satisfaction. Although the current findings only demonstrate the immediate impact of very short-term exposure to self-compassionate content, they suggest that further exploration of this approach is worthwhile. Future research that investigates the potential for longer-term benefit of exposure to self-compassionate content would be valuable. Traditionally, intervention approaches that aim to improve body satisfaction have employed techniques of teaching media literacy skills in modular-based in-depth interventions face-to-face and online. However, the social media environment may offer the opportunity for a novel approach to intervention. It could be that the encouragement of inclusion of body positive and compassionate content into women's social media feeds (that for many likely includes both thin and toned bodies and messages about the importance of striving to achieve these ideals) offers a way to mute the negative impacts of exposure to such content (Fardouly & Vartanian, 2016; Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2015), and could be considered a 'light-touch,' cost-effective, and scalable intervention strategy. In addition, teasing apart the content of self-compassion quotes, to examine the impact of more generic self-compassion quotes (e.g., "It is not selfish to love yourself, take care of yourself, and make your happiness a priority. It is necessary"), compared to more specific body-focused self-compassion



quotes (e.g., “You are beautiful no matter what shape you are”) would be a useful future pursuit.

As with all research, the current findings need to be considered in light of possible limitations of the study. First, like the majority of the body image literature, the current participants were university students, thus limiting the generalisability of the findings to other groups of women. Second, the study examined very short-term exposure to two different types of *Instagram* images. Women were only shown 20 images for a period of 5 min. Given that participants reported spending a modal time of 31–60 min per day on *Instagram*, the limited experimental exposure is not representative of the actual exposure that women likely have to *Instagram* imagery. Future research might usefully aim to investigate the impact of more ‘naturalistic’ exposure to social media images, for example by employing ecological momentary assessments, as has been suggested elsewhere (Fardouly & Vartanian, 2016). Finally, despite the fact that one of the strengths of the study was our attempt to make the viewing conditions more ecologically valid than previous research (e.g., by displaying the images in actual *Instagram* accounts, on iPads, and allowing participants to view each image for as long as they like), participants were still not able to interact with the images as they might in reality, for example by ‘liking’ or commenting on the images. Again, finding ways to incorporate realistic social media use into research studies (across a number of social media platforms) will be critical for understanding the full impact of this media.

In spite of these limitations, the present study makes an important contribution to the growing body of literature focusing on the impact of exposure to ‘new’ media. Our findings regarding the positive impact of exposure to self-compassion quotes displayed on social media on women’s body satisfaction and body appreciation are novel and noteworthy. Given the proliferation of images and content encouraging women to aspire to unrealistic and unattainable body ideals, and the known negative impacts of exposure to such content, the current study instead suggests that encouraging women to take a kind, compassionate view of themselves via social media may positively impact on their body image and mood, and thus offer a novel avenue for intervention.

## References

- Abena. (2013). *From thinspo to fitspiration: How social media could be affecting your body image*. Retrieved from: <http://www.collegefashion.net/college-life/from-thinspo-to-fitspiration-how-social-media-could-be-affecting-your-body-image/>
- Albertson, E. R., Neff, K. D., & Dill-Shackleford, K. E. (2015). Self-compassion and body dissatisfaction in women: A randomized controlled trial of a brief meditation intervention. *Mindfulness*, 6, 444–454. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12671-014-0277-3>
- Avalos, L., Tylka, T. L., & Wood-Barcalow, N. (2005). The Body Appreciation Scale: Development and psychometric evaluation. *Body Image*, 2, 285–297. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2005.06.002>
- Bair, C., Kelly, N., Serdar, K., & Mazzeo, S. (2012). Does the internet function like magazines? An exploration of image-focused media, eating pathology, and body dissatisfaction. *Eating Behaviours*, 13, 398–401. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2012.06.003>
- Boepple, L., Ata, R. N., Rum, R., & Thompson, J. K. (2016). Strong is the new skinny: A content analysis of fitspiration websites. *Body Image*, 17, 132–135. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.03.001>
- Boepple, L., & Thompson, J. K. (2016). A content analytic comparison of fitspiration and thinspiration websites. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 49, 98–101. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/eat.22403>
- Braun, T. D., Park, C. L., & Gorin, A. (2016). Self-compassion, body image, and disordered eating: A review of the literature. *Body Image*, 17, 117–131. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.03.003>
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Daye, C. A., Webb, J. B., & Jafari, N. (2014). Exploring self-compassion as a refuge against recalling the body-related shaming of caregiver eating messages on dimensions of objectified body consciousness in college women. *Body Image*, 11, 547–556. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2014.08.001>
- Diedrichs, P. C., Lee, C., & Kelly, M. (2011). Seeing the beauty in everyday people: A qualitative study of young Australians’ opinions on body image, the mass media and models. *Body Image*, 8, 259–266. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2011.03.003>
- Fardouly, J., Diedrichs, P. C., Vartanian, L. R., & Halliwell, E. (2015a). The mediating role of appearance comparisons in the relationship between media usage and self-objectification in young women. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 39, 447–457. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0361684315581841>
- Fardouly, J., Diedrichs, P. C., Vartanian, L. R., & Halliwell, E. (2015b). Social comparisons on social media: The impact of Facebook on young women’s body image concerns and mood. *Body Image*, 13, 38–45. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2014.12.002>
- Fardouly, J., & Vartanian, L. R. (2015). Negative comparisons about one’s appearance mediate the relationship between Facebook usage and body image concerns. *Body Image*, 12, 82–88. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2014.10.004>
- Fardouly, J., & Vartanian, L. R. (2016). Social media and body image concerns: Current research and future directions. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 9, 1–5. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.09.005>
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., & Lang, A.-G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using  $g^*$  power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior Research Methods*, 41, 1149–1160. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3758/BRM.41.4.1149>
- Ghaznavi, J., & Taylor, L. D. (2015). Bones, body parts, and sex appeal: An analysis of #thinspiration images on popular social media. *Body Image*, 14, 54–61. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2015.03.006>
- Grabe, S., Ward, L., & Hyde, J. S. (2008). The role of the media in body image concerns among women: A meta-analysis of experimental and correlational studies. *Psychological Bulletin*, 134, 460–476. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.134.3.460>
- Halliwell, E. (2015). Future directions for positive body image research. *Body Image*, 14, 177–189. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2015.03.003>
- Halliwell, E., & Diedrichs, P. C. (2012). Influence of the media. In N. Rumsey & D. Harcourt (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of the psychology of appearance* (pp. 217–238). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Heinberg, L. J., & Thompson, J. (1995). Body image and televised images of thinness and attractiveness: A controlled laboratory investigation. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 14, 325–338. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1521/jscp.1995.14.4.325>
- Holland, G., & Tiggemann, M. (2016). A systematic review of the impact of the use of social networking sites on body image and disordered eating outcomes. *Body Image*, 17, 100–110. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.02.008>
- Homan, K. J., & Tylka, T. L. (2015). Self-compassion moderates body comparison and appearance self-worth’s inverse relationships with body appreciation. *Body Image*, 15, 1–7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2015.04.007>
- Instagram. (2016). *Press news*. Retrieved from: <https://www.instagram.com/press/>
- Jaccard, J., & Turrisi, R. (2003). *Interaction effects in multiple regression* (2nd ed.). CA: Sage.
- Kelly, A. C., Vimalakanthan, K., & Miller, K. E. (2014). Self-compassion moderates the relationship between body mass index and both eating disorder pathology and body image flexibility. *Body Image*, 11, 446–453. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2014.07.005>
- Krane, V., Waldron, J., Michalenok, J., & Stiles-Shipley, J. (2001). Body image concerns in female exercisers and athletes: A feminist cultural studies perspective. *Women in Sport and Physical Activity Journal*, 10(1), 17–54. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1123/wspaj.10.1.17>
- Krane, V., Waldron, J., Stiles-Shipley, J. A., & Michalenok, J. (2001). Relationships among body satisfaction, social physique anxiety, and eating behaviors in female athletes and exercisers. *Journal of Sport Behavior*, 24(3), 247–264.
- Levine, M. P., & Murnen, S. K. (2009). Everybody knows that mass media are/are not [pick one] a cause of eating disorders: A critical review of evidence for a causal link between media, negative body image, and disordered eating in females. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 28, 9–42. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1521/jscp.2009.28.1.9>
- McLean, S. A., Paxton, S. J., Wertheim, E. H., & Masters, J. (2015). Photoshopping the selfie: Self photo editing and photo investment are associated with body dissatisfaction in adolescent girls. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 48, 1132–1140. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/eat.22449>
- Meier, E. P., & Gray, J. (2014). Facebook photo activity associated with body image disturbance in adolescent girls. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 17, 199–206. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2013.0305>
- Moher, D., Schulz, K. F., & Altman, D. G. (2001). The CONSORT statement: Revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomized trials. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 134, 657–662.
- Morris, M., & Anderson, E. (2015). ‘Charlie is so cool like’: Authenticity, popularity and inclusive masculinity on youtube. *Sociology*, 49, 1200–1217. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0038038514562852>
- Mosewich, A. D., Kowalski, K. C., Sabiston, C. M., Sedgwick, W. A., & Tracy, J. L. (2011). Self-compassion: A potential resource for young women athletes. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 33, 103–123. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1123/jsep.33.1.103>
- Neff, K. D. (2003). The development and validation of a scale to measure self-compassion. *Self and Identity*, 2, 223–250. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15298860309027>
- Perloff, R. M. (2014). Social media effects on young women’s body image concerns: Theoretical perspectives and an agenda for research. *Sex Roles*, 71, 363–377. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11199-014-0384-6>
- Perneger, T. V. (1998). What’s wrong with Bonferroni adjustments. *BMJ*, 316(7139), 1236–1238.
- Perrin, A., Duggan, M., Rainie, L., Smith, A., Greenwood, S., Porteus, M., & Page, D. (2015). *Social media usage: 2005–2015*. Pew Research Center.

- Scaraboto, D., & Fischer, E. (2013). Frustrated fatshionistas: An institutional theory perspective on consumer quests for greater choice in mainstream markets. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 39, 1234–1257. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/668298>
- Simpson, C. C., & Mazzeo, S. E. (2016). Skinny is not enough: A content analysis of fitspiration on pinterest. *Health Communication*, 32, 560–567. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2016.1140273>
- Stein, J. (2017). Snapchat faces the public. *Time Magazine*.
- Thompson, J. K., Heinberg, L. J., Altabe, M., & Tantleff-Dunn, S. (1999). *Exacting beauty: Theory, assessment, and treatment of body image disturbance*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; US.
- Thompson, J. K., Heinberg, L. J., & Tantleff, S. (1991). The Physical Appearance Comparison Scale. *The Behavior Therapist*, 14, 174.
- Thompson, J. K., van den Berg, P., Roehrig, M., Guarda, A. S., & Heinberg, L. J. (2004). The Sociocultural Attitudes Towards Appearance Scale-3 (SATAQ-3): Development and validation. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 35, 293–304. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/eat.10257>
- Tiggemann, M., & Miller, J. (2010). The internet and adolescent girls' weight satisfaction and drive for thinness. *Sex Roles*, 63, 79–90. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11199-010-9789-z>
- Tiggemann, M., & Slater, A. (2013). Netgirls: The internet, Facebook, and body image concern in adolescent girls. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 46, 630–633. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/eat.22141>
- Tiggemann, M., & Slater, A. (2014). Netweens: The internet and body image concerns in preteenage girls. *The Journal of Early Adolescence*, 34, 606–620. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0272431613501083>
- Tiggemann, M., & Zaccardo, M. (2015). Exercise to be fit, not skinny: The effect of fitspiration imagery on women's body image. *Body Image*, 15, 61–67. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2015.06.003>
- Tiggemann, M., & Zaccardo, M. (2016). 'Strong is the new skinny': A content analysis of #fitspiration images on Instagram. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 1–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1359105316639436>
- Tolson, A. (2010). A new authenticity? Communicative practices on YouTube. *Critical Discourse Studies*, 7, 277–289. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17405904.2010.511834>
- Tylka, T. L., & Kroon Van Diest, A. M. (2015). Protective factors. In L. Smolak & M. P. Levine (Eds.), *The Wiley handbook of eating disorders* (pp. 430–444). West Sussex, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Tylka, T. L., Russell, H. L., & Neal, A. A. (2015). Self-compassion as a moderator of thinness-related pressures' associations with thin-ideal internalization and disordered eating. *Eating Behaviors*, 17, 23–26. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2014.12.009>
- Tylka, T. L., & Wood-Barcalow, N. L. (2015a). The Body Appreciation Scale-2: Item refinement and psychometric evaluation. *Body Image*, 12, 53–67. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2014.09.006>
- Tylka, T. L., & Wood-Barcalow, N. L. (2015b). What is and what is not positive body image? Conceptual foundations and construct definition. *Body Image*, 14, 118–129. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2015.04.001>
- Want, S. C. (2009). Meta-analytic moderators of experimental exposure to media portrayals of women on female appearance satisfaction: Social comparisons as automatic processes. *Body Image*, 6, 257–269. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2009.07.008>
- Wasylikiw, L., MacKinnon, A. L., & MacLellan, A. M. (2012). Exploring the link between self-compassion and body image in university women. *Body Image*, 9, 236–245.
- World Health Organisation. (2016). *BMI classification*.. Retrieved from. [http://apps.who.int/bmi/index.jsp?introPage=intro\\_3.html](http://apps.who.int/bmi/index.jsp?introPage=intro_3.html)